Core and peripheral inalienable nouns in Russian

Irina Burukina RSUH

Introduction

This research focuses on the problem of inalienable nouns in Russian.

Inalienable noun is a noun that refers to something understood as necessarily possessed. Syntactically it allows less marked possessive form.

Main goals

- Investigate main properties of 'candidate' categories of inalienable nouns
- > Define core and peripheral categories of inalienable nouns in Russian.

Basic definition

Inalienable noun – a noun that refers to something understood as necessarily possessed.

Syntactically it allows less marked possessive form.

(1) zero possessive marker in Dogon

U ba

you father

'your father'

(2) zero possessive marker in Kayardild

dangkaa thukanda

man chin

'man's chin'

(3) implicit possessive in Russian

Petya pozvonil mame.

Peter.NOM called mother.DAT

'Peter called his mother.'

Petya pochesal ruku.

Petya.NOM scratched hand.ACC

'Peter scratched his hand.'





Possible subcategories

- Kinship terms
- Body part nouns
- Spatial relations
- Items of personal domain
- > Implements of material culture
- Human characteristics





Inalienability and relativity

Inalienability correlates with relativity.

Relational noun = binary relation <correlate, referent> (e.g. possessive relation)

Correlates of inalienable nouns presuppose their referents; slots for potential referents are added to the discourse model with actualized correlates.

Inalienable nouns, possible properties:

- surjective: for any potential referent there is an actual correlate (son, daughter)
- everywhere defined: for any possible correlate there is an actual referent (father, body)

Inalienable nouns in Russian

I examined different categories of inalienable nouns in Russian in terms of their semantic and syntactic properties.

CORE of inalienable nouns: kinship terms and human / animal body part nouns.

PERIPHERY of inalienable nouns: nouns denoting clothes and parts of items (*nozhka* 'leg', *kryshka* 'lid').

Below different candidate subcategories of inalienable nouns are introduced with their main properties.

Human and animal body part nouns:

- surjective and everywhere defined
- correlates are well defined (human / humanlike being)
- possessive relation between referent and correlate is practically unambiguous and obligatory and should be less marked due to language economy
- implicit possessive is required.

Kinship terms:

- either surjective or everywhere defined
- correlate and referent domains are well defined
- possessive relation is less obvious
- explicit possessives are allowed in ambiguous contexts.

Nouns denoting clothes:

- non-surjective but usually everywhere defined
- existence of possessor is not obligatory
- explicit possessives are preferable.

Parts of items:

- non-surjective and not everywhere defined
- > semantic link between possessor and possessed is very weak
- explicit possessives (genitive construction) are used if necessary.

*Attributes (ves 'weight', rost 'height'):

- surjective and thus require possessor
- correlate domain is practically equal to the whole universe of existing entities
- possessive relation is ambiguous
- possessives have to be explicit.

I argue that attributes should not be considered as inalienable nouns.

Referents

Lander Y. K tipologii relyatsionnykh imyon [On the typology of relational nouns]. 2000 Haspelmath M. Alienable vs. inalienable possessive constructions. 2008

Acknowledgements

Yakov Testelets (RSUH) is thanked for his supervision, help and support. Anna Shlomina (RSUH) is kindly thanked for her help and support.