Claim 1: Definite genitive on the dependent requires obligatory possessive marker on the head.

For non-anchoring relations and also for some anchoring relations
(species, purpose, predestination, group-membership, attributive)

\[ dependent+GEN head+EMT \]

Claim 2: Unmarked dependent presupposes external marker trigger

This construction has more narrow usage, than one with indefinite genitive

\[ dependent+head+EMT \]

Open questions

- What other relations can also be encoded with possessive constructions?
- What constructions are used in Moksha-language to express anchoring relations with animate referent indefinite possessors?
- What semantic difference is between Dependent-marking and juxtaposition (N1 N0)?