

Possessive constructions in the Moksha language

Polina Pleshak, Moscow State University, polinapleshak@yandex.ru

Data : **The Moksha-language** <Mordvinic < Finno-Permic < Finnic < Finno-Ugric < Uralic
Fieldwork in Lesnoje Tsibajevo, Lesnoje Ardashevo, Lesnyje Sijali
Mordovia, Russia,
June-August, 2014

3 types of declension
in Moksha

- ✓ definite
- ✓ indefinite
- ✓ possessive

What are Possessive constructions?

Not only constructions which refer to prototypical possessive relations like:

- **LEGAL OWNERSHIP**
- **KINSHIP** or
- **BODY-PART.**

Many other relations can have the same structure.

See [Koptjevskaja-Tamm, Maria (2002)]

✓ “The possessors serve as *anchors or reference point entities for identification of the head*”
[Koptjevskaja-Tamm 2002]

Types of relations

Anchoring
LEGAL OWNERSHIP
KINSHIP
BODY-PART
AUTHOR/ORIGINATOR
PART-WHOLE
TEMPORAL
LOCATIVE

Non-anchoring
SPECIES
PURPOSE
+
PSEUDO-PARTITIVE

Theme of the research:

Semantics of possessive constructions to wide extent

- If there are different constructions
- semantic distribution of these constructions

Typologically there are several possible constructions

in the languages of Europe that encode possessive relations:

- **dependent-marking**

(*RUS*) *omeu дево́чк-и* (*the girl's father*)

father girl-GEN

- **double-marking**

(*MOK*) *s't'arn'ε-t' al'a-c*

girl-DEF.GEN father-3SG.POSS.SG

- **head-marking**

(*TAT*) *malaj kitap-lar-ɣ* (*the boy's books*)

boy book-PL-IZF3

[Graschenkov, 2007]

- **with prepositions**

The house of my parents

- **juxtaposition**

The autumn flower

and also

- **with linking pronouns** and

- **compounding**

[Koptjevskaja-Tamm, Maria (2002)]

Problem

Dependent with definite vs indefinite declension (genitive)

Dependent genitive vs unmarked

Head with vs without possessive marking

Claim 1: Definite genitive on the dependent requires obligatory possessive marker on the head.

Indefinite genitive presupposes external marker trigger

Only for anchoring relations with definite possessors

(legal ownership, kinship, body-part, originator, group-member, part-whole, temporal, locative)

dependent+DEF.GEN head+POSS

➤ Anchoring relations with definite possessors

(1a) *s't'ar'-nε-t' kukla-c ašči oza-də*

girl-DIM-DEF.SG.GEN doll-3SG.POSS.SG be situated-NPST.3-SG sit- CONV.POSS

tabur'etka-t' lang-sə

chair-DEF.SG.GEN on-IN

The girl's doll is sitting on the chair.

NB: The change of construction (with the same words) leads to the semantic change

ORIGINATOR

(2a) *s't'ar'-n'ε-t' st'ihotvor'en'ijə-nzə iz'-s't' tu*

girl-DIM-DEF.SG.GEN poem-3SG.POSS.PL NEG.PST-PST.3-PL go-CN

učit'al'-t' mēl'-s

teacher-DEF.SG.GEN desire-ILL

The teacher didn't like the girl's poems.

➤ Anchoring relations with definite possessors

(3a) *mar'-t' ked'əc ašč-i morkš lang-sə*

apple-DEF.SG.GEN peel-3SG.POSS.SG be situated- NPST.3-SG table on-IN

The peel of the apple is on the table

Claim 2: Unmarked dependent presupposes external marker trigger

This construction has more narrow usage, than one with indefinite genitive

➤ Body-part, part-whole relations

dependent head+EMT

(3c)^{ok} *mar' ked' ašč-i morkš lang-sə*

apple-Ø peel-Ø be situated- NPST.3-SG table on-IN

An apple peel is on the table. (Compare with ex.(3b))

➤ Non-anchoring relations with non-countable/mass modifiers

GROUP-MEMBERSHIP

(4a) *s't'ar'-n'ε grupp-a-s' sa-s' vel'-i*

girl-DIM-Ø group-DEF.SG arrive- PST.3-SG village- LAT

The group of girls came to the village. (Not the group of boys)

PURPOSE

(5a) *ved' vedarka-s' taštəm-s'*

water-Ø pail-DEF.SG age-PST.3-SG

The water pail has become old.

For non-anchoring relations and also for some anchoring relations

(species, purpose, predestination, group-membership, attributive)

EMT-external marker trigger

dependent+GEN head+EMT

➤ Non-anchoring relations

(1b) *ava-n' panar-s' povfta-f lavka-t' es-ə*

woman-GEN dress- DEF.SG hang-PTCP.RES shop-DEF.SG.GEN in- IN

The woman dress hangs in the shop.

PREDESTINATION

(2b) *s't'ar'-n'ε-n' st'ihotvor'en'ijə-t'n'ə iz'-s't' tu*

girl-DIM-GEN poem-DEF.PL NEG.PST-PST.3-PL go-CN

učit'al'-t' mēl'-s

teacher- DEF.SG.GEN desire- ILL

* *The teacher didn't like the girl's poems.*

The teacher didn't like the poems for girls.

➤ Anchoring relations with indefinite inanimate possessors

3(b) *mar'-ən' ked' ašč-i morkš lang-sə*

apple-GEN peel-Ø be situated- NPST.3-SG table on-IN

A peel of an apple is on the table. ^{ok} *An apple peel is on the table.*

Claim 3: Special construction with unmarked dependent

encodes only pseudo-partitive relations

(the difference with the other construction with unmarked dependent consists in word order)

N1 NO



NO N1

➤ Quantifier-mass

(4b) *grupp-a s't'ar'-n'ε -s' sa-s' vel'-i*

group-Ø girl-DIM-DEF.SG arrive- PST.3-SG village- LAT

The group of girls came to the village.

➤ Container-content

(5b) *vedarka ved'-s' ašč-i kuhn'ε-sə*

pail-Ø water- DEF.SG be situated- NPST.3-SG kitchen-IN

The pail of water is in the kitchen.

compare

Open questions

✓ What other relations can also be encoded with possessive constructions?

✓ What constructions are used in Moksha-language to express anchoring relations with animate referent indefinite possessors?

✓ What semantic difference is between Dependent-marking and juxtaposition (N1 NO)?

1. Koptjevskaja-Tamm, Maria (2002). "Adnominal possession in the European languages: form and function". STUF, 55, 2, 141-172. 2. Graschenkov, P.V., "Ezafe constructions : multiple-factor analysis", *Mishar dialect of Tatar language: essays about syntax and semantics* – Kazan: Magarif, 2007., p.83-114. 3. Koptjevskaja-Tamm, Maria. Possessive noun phrases in the languages of Europe. In Plank F. (ed.) *Noun Phrase Structure in the Languages of Europe*. Berlin, NY: Mouton de Gruyter, 2003. P. 621–722. 4. Feoktistov, Aleksander. Category of possession in Mordvinic, Mordvinic publishing house, 1963